Stability-induced modification of sea surface winds over Gulf Stream rings
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[1] Satellite-borne scatterometer and infrared data collected over Gulf Stream warm and cold core rings are used to study the effect of the sea-air temperature difference on the wind speed over rings. The observed acceleration of the wind over and deceleration over rings is found to be consistent with that predicted by the planetary boundary layer model of Brown and Foster [1994]. In addition it is shown that the distance over which the winds respond to an ocean surface temperature step is short (<25km) while the distance over which the surface boundary layer responds to a surface temperature step is long (≥175km). INDEX TERMS: 4504 Oceanography: Physical: Air/sea interactions (0312); 3339 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Ocean/atmosphere interactions (0312, 4504); 3307 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Boundary layer processes; 4275 Oceanography: General: Remote sensing and electromagnetic processes (0689).


1. Introduction

[2] It has long been known that the stability of the marine boundary layer (MBL) depends on the sea-air temperature difference, \( T_s - T_a \), and that the surface wind stress, \( \tau_s \), depends in turn on the stability of the MBL. These relationships have been examined in a number of studies [e.g., Sweet et al., 1981, Businger and Shaw, 1984, Liu, 1984, Wallace et al., 1989, Friehe et al., 1991] involving open ocean observations. In the past several years, there has been a number of studies addressing the relationship between satellite-derived surface winds and sea surface temperature (\( T_s \)) in the vicinity of “tropical instability waves” [Xie et al., 1998, Wentz et al., 2000, Hashizume et al., 2001, Chelton et al., 2001]. Hashizume et al. [2001] examine wind speed anomaly as a function of \( T_s \) anomaly while Chelton et al. [2001] obtain a relationship between the surface wind stress and surface temperature gradient. Although the statistical relations derived are for \( T_s \) gradients or anomalies, the underlying assumption is that these quantities are representative of \( T_s - T_a \) hence related to MBL stability.

[3] In this study we examine the relationship between satellite-derived 10 m equivalent neutral winds, \( \vec{u}_{10}^N \) and \( T_s - T_a \). We conduct our study in the vicinity of Gulf Stream rings using the sea surface temperature difference between water in the ring and water that surrounds it as a proxy for \( T_s - T_a \). Because Gulf Stream rings are closed structures it is possible to associate changes in \( \vec{u}_{10}^N \) with \( T_s \) steps, hence by assumption \( T_s - T_a \) steps, normal to \( \vec{u}_{10}^N \). This obviates the need to rely on differential measures such as those used in Chelton et al [2001] to address a relationship that is inherently non-linear.

2. Data

[4] Data from two satellite-borne scatterometer missions have been used for this study: NSCAT [Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 1998] (September 1996 through June 1997), and QuikSCAT QuikSCAT [Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 1999](July 1999 through April 2000). The spatial resolution of both scatterometers is 25 km and the temporal sampling, although irregular depending on latitude and scatterometer design, is on the order of once per day at mid-latitude. The winds used in this study are the 10 m equivalent neutral winds, \( \vec{u}_{10}^N \), obtained from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena, California. These correspond to the winds that one would obtain from the friction velocity (the quantity effectively measured by the scatterometer) assuming an unstratified MBL. The uncertainty of the NSCAT data is on the order of 1.3 m/s and 20º for wind speeds ranging from 1 m/s to 18 m/s [Freilich and Dunbar, 1999]. The uncertainty of QuikSCAT is thought to be similar. We refer to \( \vec{u}_{10}^N \) as the scatterometer-derived winds in the following.

[5] \( T_s \) fields obtained from the University of Miami were used to locate Gulf Stream rings and to estimate the temperature inside and outside of the rings. The \( T_s \) fields were derived from infrared data collected by the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) carried on NOAA polar orbiting satellites. There are typically two fields per day covering any mid-latitude location at approximately 1 km resolution. Processing of the AVHRR data is described in Cornillon et al. [1988].

[6] Each \( T_s \) field for the period during which the scatterometers were operating was examined for the existence of Gulf Stream rings. For those fields in which one or more rings were clearly visible, a representative outer boundary of each ring was manually digitized and an ellipse was fit to it in a least square sense [Hooker and Olson, 1984]. Different criteria were used to select the boundary digitized for warm core rings and cold core rings. Warm core rings are usually well defined in the \( T_s \) field as warm elliptical regions surrounded by a relatively large \( T_s \) gradient boundary. This is the boundary that was digitized. Cold core rings on the other hand are generally visible only shortly after they have formed or after a recent interaction with the Gulf Stream. In both cases the cold core is surrounded by a
439 warm core ring observations were made in the Slope Water north of the stream and 260 cold core ring observations were made in the Sargasso Sea south of the stream.

[7] The T_s field for each ring observation was paired with one or more scatterometer passes that completely covered the ring and that occurred within 48 hours of the T_s observation. The meridional and zonal coordinates for both the T_s field and the corresponding wind field were scaled so that the digitized ring boundary formed a circle of radius one centered on the origin and both coordinate systems were then rotated so that the mean wind over the ring points in the positive y direction. The mean wind was determined for a region defined by a square of 11 × 11 scatterometer cells, 275 × 275 km, (13 × 13, 325 × 325 km, for rings that touched the boundaries of an 11 × 11 cell square) centered on the ring.

[8] The upper eight panels of Figure 2 show the mean y-component (down-wind) of the scatterometer-derived wind in the normalized ring coordinate system for 3 m/s wind speed ranges from 3 to 15 m/s. For each of these ranges acceleration of the wind over is well defined and there is a suggestion of deceleration over. An acceleration/deceleration asymmetry of the down-wind speed in the cross-wind direction over the rings is also evident. This asymmetry, most clearly seen for cold core ring, results from the fact that the scatterometer measures the wind relative to the ocean surface [Cornillon and Park, 2001]. Specifically, the wind to the left (right) of a cold core ring center looking down-wind is moving against (toward) the ring current, hence the speed of the wind relative to the water surface is larger (smaller) to the left (right) of the ring center than the average. This is clearly seen in the lower two panels of Figure 2, which present the ratio of the y-component of the wind speed averaged over y inside the ring to the mean background y-component. The blue curves are for the idealized case of a uniform wind over the region and typical ring surface currents. The red curves are obtained from the data by averaging over all warm/cold core ring observations. In addition to the cross-wind asymmetry, the acceleration/deceleration is also clearly evident in these curves.

[9] The plots of Figure 2 also suggest that the spatial scale over which the wind adjusts to a change in the stability MBL of the is relatively small, order 25 km (or smaller); the acceleration seen clearly in the warm core ring case appears to take place within one grid element, generally less than 25 km, of the up-wind edge of the ring. This is consistent with the observations suggested by the plots in Friehe et al. [1991]. By contrast, the spatial scale over which the MBL returns to neutral stability following a step change in T_s is large compared with the typical ring diameter, ≈150 to 175 km. In all cases there is little change either in the acceleration/deceleration of the wind from the point at which the wind initially crosses the ring boundary to the opposite side of the ring or in the magnitude of the y-component of the wind down-wind of the ring compared with that up-wind of the ring.

[10] Figure 3 is a plot of U_{10}^N (in)/U_{10}^N (out) versus T_s (in) − T_s (out) for U_{10}^N (the component of u_{10} in the direction of the
warm band of Gulf Stream water discussed above. To avoid contamination of the “interior” of the ring with the cold core ring a smaller inner radius of 0.5 was chosen to over the region with normalized radii less than 1.0 and for for warm core ring “in” refers to an average of the winds over the region with normalized radii less than 1.0 and for cold core ring “out” refers to an average of U10 outside of the ellipse defining the boundary of the ring but within a 275 x 275 km box centered on the ring. For warm core ring “in” refers to an average of the winds over the region with normalized radii less than 1.0 and for cold core ring a smaller inner radius of 0.5 was chosen to avoid contamination of the “interior” of the ring with the warm band of Gulf Stream water discussed above.

Also plotted in Figure 3 are curves of \( U_{10}^w \) versus \( T_s \) obtained from the University of Washington (UW) planetary boundary layer (PBL) model [Brown and Liu, 1982; Brown and Foster, 1994]. All model runs were performed for an atmospheric humidity of 78.7% and \( T_s \) of 19.52°C, climatological values based on the period 1989–1994 for the Gulf Stream region. The only parameters varied from simulation-to-simulation were the geostrophic forcing and \( T_s \) although they were not allowed to vary during a simulation. For each point on the curves the same geostrophic forcing was used for both \( U_{10}^w \) and \( U_{10}^{*w} \), obtained by first calculating the magnitude of the friction velocity, \( u^* \), associated with the given forcing and \( T_s \) and then determining the magnitude of the equivalent neutral wind corresponding to \( u^* \) using the standard log relationship

\[
U_{10}^N(T_s - T_a) = (u^*/k) \log(10z_a/2 + \psi) \]

with the stratification term, \( \psi \), set to zero. \( k \), the von Kármán constant is 0.4. \( U_{10}^N \) is the equivalent neutral winds for a neutrally stratified MBL. The PBL model is based on similarity theory which is valid only in a constant flux layer under quasi-stationary and horizontally homogeneous conditions. Despite this constraint and the fact that the model runs are for fixed meteorological and oceanographic conditions the shapes and magnitudes of the observed and modeled curves are quite similar.

Part of the discrepancy between model results and scatterometer observations may result from the assumption that the MBL is neutrally stratified “outside” of the ring. Under such conditions \( T_{s(out)} \), the satellite-derived ocean surface temperature outside of the ring, approximates \( T_s \), the air temperature used in the model; \( T_{s(in)} - T_{s(out)} \approx T_s - T_a \); \( U_{10}^N(out) \approx U_{10}^N \) and \( U_{10}^N(in) \approx U_{10}^N(T_s - T_a) \). However, this will only be true if the surface water temperature, \( T_s \), remains unchanged for a long distance up-wind of the ring, and this is rarely the case. In fact, for almost all cold core ring and approximately 3/4 of the warm core ring observations, there is a band of water outside of the ring with a temperature that lies between that of the ring and that beyond the band. For the remaining 1/4 of the warm core ring cases, \( T_{s(out)} \) was approximately equal to or slightly smaller than that several hundred kilometers upwind of the ring. Given the quick response of the wind to a change in surface temperature compared with the slow response of the MBL stability (described above), one would expect the observed wind speed ratios, \( U_{10}^N(in)/U_{10}^N(out) \), to be closer to 1.0 than the modeled ratios of the wind speed over the ring, \( U_{10}^N(T_s - T_a)/U_{10}^N \). Basically, the wind speed outside the ring has adjusted to the change in surface temperature outside of the ring compared to the temperature upwind of this region but the MBL has not. As the wind blows over the \( T_s \) step at the ring boundary it adjusts again, but only to the incremental difference in temperature between the ring and the region beyond the band immediately outside of the ring. Because of the non-linearity of the wind speed ratio relative to \( T_s \), the actual change in wind speed is smaller than it would have been had the MBL been neutrally stratified outside of the ring.

Figure 3. The ratio of the wind speed over a ring to that outside of the ring. Solid line with error bars observed \( 10N\text{Ratio} \) versus \( T_s \) (in)–\( T_s \) (out); dashed curve modeled \( U_{10}^N \) versus \( T_s \) (in), \( T_s \) (out); dashed curve modeled \( U_{10}^N \) (out), for both warm and cold core rings decreases with increasing wind speed as does the modeled ratios, \( U_{10}^N(T_s - T_a)/U_{10}^N \). Although there are other possible causes for the observed acceleration/deceleration, such as a change in surface friction due to changes in \( T_s \), we believe that the similarity between our observations and the PBL model support attribution of the primary cause to changes in the stability of the MBL as it crosses a \( T_s \) step.

In this paper we have documented the acceleration (deceleration) of scatterometer-derived equivalent neutral winds over warm (cold) core rings. We have also shown that the observed acceleration/deceleration is similar in magnitude as well as in its dependence on \( T_s \) and wind speed with that predicted by the UW PBL model [Brown and Foster, 1994]. Specifically, the magnitude of the ratio, \( U_{10}^N(in)/U_{10}^N(out) \), for both warm and cold core rings decreases with increasing wind speed as does the modeled ratios, \( U_{10}^N(T_s - T_a)/U_{10}^N \). Although there are other possible causes for the observed acceleration/deceleration, such as a change in surface friction due to changes in \( T_s \), we believe that the similarity between our observations and the PBL model support attribution of the primary cause to changes in the stability of the MBL as it crosses a \( T_s \) step.

We have also shown that the spatial scale over which the wind speed adjusts to a \( T_s \) step is small, less than 25 km,
while that over which the MBL adjusts to the same $T_s$ step is substantially larger, certainly greater than 175 km.

[15] Finally, we note that although these results have been presented in the context of changes in the vector winds as they flow over Gulf Stream rings, they in fact apply to changes in the winds as they flow over $T_s$ steps in the ocean in general.
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